

Investigations and Repairs of Boston Manor Viaduct

Prepared by Said EI-Belbol/Neil Loudon For Bridge Owner's Forum and Bridges Board September 2012





- Background
- Investigations
- Interim measures
- Repair and future management
- What worked well
- Issues for consideration/lessons learnt



Background

- Boston Manor Viaduct
- M4, J2-3
- Opened in 1965
- 21 Spans, Steel Girders with Concrete Slab
- Except Approach Span, three span truss section
- Structure is generally welded (including electroslag welding technique)



Electroslag welding technique

- Electroslag welding (ESW) highly productive, single pass welding process for thick (greater than 25 mm up to about 300 mm) materials in a vertical position
- ESW an electric arc is initially struck by wire that is fed into the desired weld location and then flux is added
- Additional flux is added until the molten slag, reaching the tip of the electrode, extinguishes the arc
- Wire is then continually fed through a consumable guide tube into the surfaces of the metal workpieces
- Filler metal are then melted using the electrical resistance of the molten slag to cause coalescence
- Wire and tube then move up along the workpiece while a copper retaining shoe that was put into place before starting (can be water-cooled if desired) is used to keep the weld between the plates that are being welded.
- ESW is used mainly to join low carbon steel plates and/or sections that are very thick. It can also be used on structural steel if certain precautions are observed.
- Courtesy Wikipedia





Electroslag welding technique

- ESW was invented and patented in the US in about 1940
- It appears to have been very rarely used in the United Kingdom in the 1960's, and was not used by steel fabricators
- TWI are aware of few sites, apart from Boston Manor Viaduct, and from checks undertaken they appear to have been demolished
- Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) monitored the new process and found that electroslag welding, because of the very large amounts of confined heat used, produced a coarse-grained and potentially brittle weld
- In 1977 FHWA banned the use of the process for many applications
- Courtesy Wikipedia



















Contract Arrangements

- The Structure is within the area of M25 DBFO contract
- Operated by Connect Plus (CP)
- Lead Designer (Atkins and Arup)
- Previously Area 5 (Mouchel)



Investigations

- Over the years a numbers of investigations and repairs have been carried out on BMV
- More recently BMV was identified as the Agency's only bridge similar to the collapsed Minneapolis bridge in 2008
- A number of issues were flagged including the need to complete a principal inspection, review previous reports
- From this emerged the need to investigate ESW
- Carried out by Mouchel/TWI mainly to check condition of Electro Slag Butt Welds (>600 Nos)
- Mechanical Properties (fracture toughness, tensile hardness and fatigue crack growth properties)
- Metallurgical properties: Chemical analysis, microstructure



Investigations (Cont.)

- NDT: Fabrication flaws and fatigue cracks
- Stresses: Residual and cyclic under traffic
- Various insitu techniques (EC, ACPD, MPI, PA) (eddy current, alternating current potential drop, magnetic particle inspection, phased array)
- Lab Testing on samples (MT, fracture toughness, tensile and hardness) (although at later stage)



Findings

- Cracks identified at various locations
- At tension and compression zones
- Mostly in plate girders (at edges of flanges)
- Also directly under the web
- A combination of the above
- Limited number in the truss section
- Some "in board"



























Concern

- Safety to the Public
- Network Operations
- Load Carrying Capacity
- Brittle failure
- Fatigue (long term)
- Disruption to Olympic Traffic



Actions and Interim measures

- Load restrictions to 17 T then to 7.5 T (May 2012)
- Load carrying capacity calculations
- Fracture mechanics calculations
- Edge crack sizes
- Monitoring (including strain gauges)



Repairs Strategy

- Two phase repairs
- Phase one: carry out sufficient repairs to restore the LCC to 40 T
- Phase one: plan to complete before start of Olympic
- Phase two: complete repair to address medium/long term problems (e.g. fatigue)







Repair types

Type A: Flange cracks Remove cracks by grinding or coring (stitch drilling for samples) Type B: Web cracks (or combined A and B) Bolt splice plates above and below the weld (Approx 30 locations) Type C: Truss crack Remove cracks by grinding (problems encountered) Type D: In board cracks Grinding



BMV













Current Status

- Structure remains open during Olympics/Paralympics
- 7.5 T load restrictions plus spaced Olympic coaches
- Repairs completed with the exception of 2 locations in truss
- Restoration of 40T capacity planned for October 2012



Future Structures Management

- Monitoring
- Pl in 2013 Approx



What worked well

- Swift response from NetServ, TA in less than 24 hours including weekends – stretched resources, intensive meeting schedule
- Robust handover between staff
- Specialist knowledge within the Agency was an advantage
- Involvement and swift decisions from HA managers/senior managers
- Good recognition of NetServ role (within HA and externally)
- Good communications/reporting



Lessons learnt

- Perception of NetServ TAA role (need to be very pro-active)
- Heavy demands on resources
- Challenge contractors proposals if you can
- Consider getting an independent external experts (via or in consultation with policy)
- However, produce a specific brief for external experts and stay vigilant
- Continuous commitments to critical investigations





Questions!